



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

*len*

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/277,893	03/29/1999	KENNETH W. MARR	3543US(97-95	4223

7590

11/29/2006

BRICK G POWER  
TRASK BRITT & ROSSA  
P O BOX 2550  
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84110

EXAMINER
----------

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

DATE MAILED: 11/29/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief (37 CFR 41.37)</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/277,893	<b>Applicant(s)</b> MARR, KENNETH W.	
	<b>Examiner</b> N. Drew Richards	<b>Art Unit</b> 2815	

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--

The Appeal Brief filed on 9/5/06 is defective for failure to comply with one or more provisions of 37 CFR 41.37.

To avoid dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file an amended brief or other appropriate correction (see MPEP 1205.03) within **ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS** from the mailing date of this Notification, whichever is longer.  
**EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136.**

1. ☐ The brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 41.37(c), or the items are not under the proper heading or in the proper order.
2. ☐ The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, (e.g., rejected, allowed, withdrawn, objected to, canceled), or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iii)).
3. ☐ At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iv)).
4. ☒ (a) The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the subject matter defined in each of the independent claims involved in the appeal, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters; and/or (b) the brief fails to: (1) identify, for each independent claim involved in the appeal and for each dependent claim argued separately, every means plus function and step plus function under 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, and/or (2) set forth the structure, material, or acts described in the specification as corresponding to each claimed function with reference to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(v)).
5. ☐ The brief does not contain a concise statement of each ground of rejection presented for review (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vi)).
6. ☐ The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each ground of rejection on appeal (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vii)).
7. ☐ The brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(viii)).
8. ☐ The brief does not contain copies of the evidence submitted under 37 CFR 1.130, 1.131, or 1.132 or of any other evidence entered by the examiner and relied upon by appellant in the appeal, along with a statement setting forth where in the record that evidence was entered by the examiner, as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(ix)).
9. ☐ The brief does not contain copies of the decisions rendered by a court or the Board in the proceeding identified in the Related Appeals and Interferences section of the brief as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(x)).
10. ☒ Other (including any explanation in support of the above items):

Regarding element 4 above:

The summary of claimed subject matter in the brief is defective. The entire first paragraph discusses a structure which is not claimed. It is noted that all pending claims are drawn to a method. Further, the second and third paragraphs include a combined description of all the independent claims together as well as features from various dependent claims. Thus, there is no concise explanation of each independent claim. It is suggested that the concise summary of each independent claim is written in a separate paragraph. Further, the concise summary includes features such as a "field oxide region", "upper layers", "the material volume of each terminal may exceed the material volume of the central region of the fuse" and "the central region of the fuse may also be narrower than the terminals thereof" none of which are recited in the claims. Further, within these limitations that are not claimed are further features such as a "central region" that is not recited in the claims. It is suggested that the concise summary be limited to the language of the claims and not other features from the specification. Further, the concise summary does not refer to the drawings by reference numeral.

  
N. DREW RICHARDS  
PRIMARY EXAMINER